

## Duane Morris\*

DUANE MORRIS LLP  
1667 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006-1608  
PHONE: 202.776.7800  
FAX: 202.776.7801

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

SEP 01 2005

## FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

**TO:** Andre Boyce  
**FIRM/COMPANY:** PTO  
**FACSIMILE NUMBER:** 703.872.9306  
**CONFIRMATION  
TELEPHONE:** 571.272.6726  
**FROM:** Patrick D. McPherson  
**DIRECT DIAL:** 202.776.5214  
**DATE:** September 1, 2005  
**USER NUMBER:** 4210  
**FILE NUMBER:** GEH01-192CIP  
**TOTAL # OF PAGES:** 6  
(INCLUDING COVERSHEET)  
**MESSAGE:** U.S. Pat. App. No. 09/476,615

Per my voicemail, enclosed is a copy of our response that we filed last week that I would like to discuss in the interview on September 7, 2005 at 2:00P.M. Please e-mail location of the interview to [pdmcperson@duanemorris.com](mailto:pdmcperson@duanemorris.com)

NOTE: Original will not follow

### CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL AND IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE REVIEW OF THE PARTY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS TRANSMISSION IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY TELEPHONE THE SENDER ABOVE TO ARRANGE FOR ITS RETURN, AND IT SHALL NOT CONSTITUTE WAIVER OF THE ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGE.

If there is a problem with this transmission, please call us as soon as possible at 202.776.7800.

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

002/006

SEP 01 2005

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. GEH01 192CIP

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Patent Application of Michael S. Crone

Serial No.: 09/476,615

Art Unit: 3623

Filed: December 31, 1999

Examiner: Andre D. Boyce

Title: COST REACTIVE RESOURCE SCHEDULER AND METHOD

**REMARKS**

**Mail Stop Amendment**  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated May 25, 2005, the applicant provides the following remarks:

The Section 101 rejections are not understood. In the examiner's previous Office Action, the basis of the Section 101 rejections was that the steps "could be performed using pencil and paper." (Office Action dated 10/4/04, page 3.) Following an interview with the examiner and his supervisor, the applicant amended the independent. (Although applicant amended the claims, the stated basis for the examiner's rejection is directly